



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,972	02/21/2002	Steven P. Hiebert	10017067 -1	1591
7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			KISS, ERIC B	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2192	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/080,972	HIEBERT, STEVEN P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eric B. Kiss	2192			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuing and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 October 2005</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
. —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	<u>_</u>					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	it(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	rodement Office					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The reply filed 28 October 2005 has been received and entered. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment to claim 8 appropriately addresses the objection detailed in the previous Office action. Accordingly, this objection is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 28 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "XSL Transformation (XSLT) Version 1.0," W3C Recommendation, 16 November 1999 (art of record).

Claims 1-9, in their present form, appear to recite merely an implementation of the XSLT specification. In particular, the XSLT specification describes a system of specifying (section

Application/Control Number: 10/080,972

Page 3

Art Unit: 2192

5.3) and applying (section 5.4) template rules (under general section 5), in which patterns (section 5.2) are matched in order to select nodes for transformation by templates. The matching of patterns, as described in the XSLT specification is the equivalent of the claimed limitations describing the interpreted instructions of the prototype transform (in each of claims 1-9), and the application of appropriate templates to transform each source node identified by the matched patterns (claim 5) is equivalent to the transforming steps using a transformation processor (an XSLT processor) to transform interpreted instructions in the prototype transform (nodes matching patterns) with interpretive instructions (templates), as described in each of claims 1-9. The pattern matching of the XSLT specification is transformation specific (claim 2; i.e., the patterns map the specific nodes of the input document to the specific templates necessary to produce the specific output nodes), and the templates of the XSLT specification are transformation generic (claim 2), as more than one template may match a given node. Associations may be drawn (claims 3 and 4), as described, for example, in section 12.1 of the XSLT specification. The specific steps in creating the output document (claims 6, 8, and 9) are described, for example, in section 7 of the XSLT specification. The creation of literal result (claim 8) elements is described, for example, in section 7.1.1 of the XSLT specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2192

7. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "XSL Transformation (XSLT) Version 1.0," W3C Recommendation, 16 November 1999 (art of record) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0143823 (STEVENS).

As per claims 10-19, these are computer-readable media and systems substantially paralleling the method step limitations discussed above (claims 1-4). In addition to the disclosure applied above, The XSLT specification is intentionally silent on specific machine/medium implementations of the methods described therein. However, STEVENS teaches the use of such a medium and system in implementing a structured document transformation method (see, for example, pp. 12-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the computer art at the time the invention was made to implement the XSLT specification using known means, such as those provided by STEVENS. One would be motivated to do so to gain functional benefit from the specified transformations.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/080,972

Art Unit: 2192

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric B. Kiss whose telephone number is (571) 272-3699. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri., 7:00 am - 4:30 pm. The Examiner can also be

reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor. Tuan Dam, can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist:

571-272-2100.

EBK /EBK

January 18, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5